

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Weshington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/471,622	06/05/1995	WILLIAM D. HUSE	P-IX-1613	8720	
23601	7590 02/04/	03			
CAMPBELL & FLORES LLP			EXAM	EXAMINER	
4370 LA JOL 7TH FLOOR	LA VILLAGE DR	ULM, JO	ULM, JOHN D		
SAN DIEGO, CA 92122			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1646	421	
			DATE MAILED: 02/04/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action

Application No.

Applicant(s) 08/471,622

Examiner

Art Unit

Huse

		John Ulm	1646	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	pondence add	lress
Ther reject allov	REPLY FILED <u>Jan 13, 2003</u> FAILS TO PLACE T refore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the strong that the s	oid the abandonment of this appli nely filed amendment which place fee); or (3) a timely filed Reques	ication. A press the applica	oper reply to a final
		REPLY [check only a) or b)]		
	The period for reply expires 5 months from th			
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRS See MPEP 706.07(f).	or reply expire later than SIX MONTHS	from the maili	ng date of the
e a s	xtensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The xtension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determing propriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated frow the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if chailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce.	ning the period of extension and the c m: (1) the expiration date of the short necked. Any reply received by the Off	orresponding are ened statutory	mount of the fee. The period for reply originally
1. 🗆	A Notice of Appeal was filed on 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	. Appellant's Brief must be filed 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	d within the p the appeal.	eriod set forth in
2. X	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered bed	cause:		
(a) $oxtimes$ they raise new issues that would require further (consideration and/or search (see	NOTE below!	;
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel	low);		
(c	they are not deemed to place the application in bissues for appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by material	ly reducing o	r simplifying the
(d) \square they present additional claims without canceling a	a corresponding number of finally	rejected clai	ims.
	NOTE: <u>Amendment would precipitate a 112/ 2nd parties for "its membrane attachment domain".</u>	ara rejection of claims 1, 26 and	77 for lack o	of antecedent basis
3. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):		
4. 🗆	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the no	n-allowable claim(s).		ole if submitted in
5. 🗆	The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \square request fapplication in condition for allowance because:	for reconsideration has been cons	sidered but d	oes NOT place the
6. 🗆	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered became by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which	were newly raised
7. 🛭	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) explanation of how the new or amended claims wou) a) $\overline{\mathbb{X}}$ will not be entered or b) \square ald be rejected is provided below	will be enter	ed and an
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
	Claim(s) allowed: NONE			
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5, 7, 16-32, 66-75, and 77</u>			
- [-	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.□ 9.□	The proposed drawing correction filed on Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement			red by the Examiner.
10.🛛				JOHN ULM
10.00	Other: Obviousness-type double patenting rejection 16, which is generic to claim 11 of patent 5,87	would stand against at least clair 11,974.		MARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1646